This document is a record of the University’s response to an online survey developed by Universities UK in 2016.

**USS survey 2016**

Universities UK (UUK) has developed this survey to inform the Employers Pensions Forum’s long-term pension strategy and the development of an employer position on USS. This position will be critical in negotiations following USS’s scheme valuation at 31 March 2017.

The survey has 18 questions. UUK is seeking responses that reflect the position of your institution or organisation as a whole. To ensure that respondents can discuss responses with colleagues, this PDF copy of the survey has been circulated to the heads of USS employers. Once a page of the survey is completed, responses are saved automatically and can be returned to. Only one response should be submitted per institution.

The survey comprises five main sections:

1. Overview
2. Contribution rates
3. Pension benefits
4. The structure of USS
5. Valuation methodology

The deadline for responses to this survey is 28 October 2016

This survey is accompanied by a document with supplementary information that respondents may find helpful. The paragraphs of this document that each survey page corresponds to is noted in blue at the top of each page [in the web survey].

Although you will be asked for your name, position and institution, this information will only be used to structure analysis of the survey. It will not be shared with any third party. All data collected in this survey will be held securely. Cookies and personal data stored by your web browser are not used in this survey.

If you have queries about completing the survey, please contact Rosalind Lowe at rosalind.lowe@universitiesuk.ac.uk.

Thank you for your help in completing this survey.
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Identifiers

*Identifiers will be used to structure analysis of the responses and it will not be shared with any third party

**Question 1:** Please provide the following information:

- Name of institution
- Name of respondent
- Position of respondent

**Question 2:** What types of pension schemes are offered at your institution?

Please choose one of the following options:

- The main schemes we offer for academic and support staff are USS and other DB arrangements
- The main schemes we offer for academic and support staff are USS and other schemes that include DC arrangements

**Overview**

**Question 3:** What are your institution's views on current pension provision?

To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements? (Select from: strongly agree, somewhat agree, neither agree or disagree, somewhat disagree, strongly disagree)

- My institution highly values pensions as an important recruitment tool and benefit to employees **Strongly agree**
- The risks associated with DB pension provision represent a significant financial uncertainty for my institution **Strongly agree**
- My institution wants to avoid further divergence of pension provision within the higher education sector (e.g. in terms of benefit provision or cost) **Somewhat agree** (but may be inevitable with more competition / local schemes / different financial positions of sponsoring entities)
- My institution wants greater flexibility in the pension options available to employees **Somewhat agree**
- My institution wants to stabilise pension costs in order to offer a more diverse package of non-salary benefits to employees **Somewhat disagree on the basis that offering a more diverse package of non-salary benefits to employees is not a priority for us**

**Question 4:** To what extent do you think that employees value the pension schemes that your institution currently provides?
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To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements? (Select from: strongly agree, somewhat agree, neither agree or disagree, somewhat disagree, strongly disagree)

- The pension schemes that my institution currently offers are highly valued by employees Somewhat agree
- My institution would like to enhance the pensions benefits offered to employees Strongly disagree (maintaining the benefits is unaffordable)
- Pension provision does not represent a significant part of employee's considerations around reward Somewhat disagree

Contributions (relates to paragraphs 8-11 in the supplementary document)

Question 5: How has the rise in USS employer contributions from 16% to 18% in 2016 impacted your institution?

Choose one of the following statements:

- The increased expenditure on staff pensions was sufficiently offset by, for example, increased income or reserves
- The financial impact was considerable, but manageable through cost savings that will not impact significantly on the ambitions of my institution
- The additional pension costs are a barrier to my institution's longer term sustainable growth

Optional textbox provided for any additional comments.

Increasing the contributions will limit funds available for strategic investment

Question 6: If possible, please indicate where any cost savings had to be made that might not have been required had the USS contribution rate remained at 16%.

Please tick any that apply.

- Headcount reductions
- Restraint in other areas of employee reward and development
- Delays or reductions to capital projects
- Reductions in operational costs and other non-academic activities
- Other (please specify)

Slower strategic investment

Question 7: What is the maximum level of contributions that you could sustainably afford to pay, without causing material financial strain to your institution?
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To what extent do you agree or disagree that the following is affordable? (Select from: strongly agree, somewhat agree, neither agree or disagree, somewhat disagree, strongly disagree)

- Current contribution levels (18%) **Strongly agree**
- An increase of 2% (to 20%) **Strongly disagree**
- An increase of 4% (to 22%) **Strongly disagree**
- An increase of 6% (to 24%) **Strongly disagree**
- An increase of 8% (to 26%) **Strongly disagree**
- An increase of more than 8% **Strongly disagree**

**Question 8:** Do you think that employees would be willing to contribute more to their pension alongside employers if required?

To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following statements? (Select from: strongly agree, somewhat agree, neither agree or disagree, somewhat disagree, strongly disagree)

- Higher contributions for the same benefits **Somewhat agree**
- The same contributions for reduced benefits **Somewhat agree**
- Higher contributions with some benefit reductions **Somewhat disagree**
- Not all my employees can afford the contributions required for the current level of benefits **Somewhat agree**

**Pension benefits** (relates to paragraphs 12-17 in the supplementary document)

**Question 9:** Which of these statements best describes your institution’s views on benefit reform if action is required to reduce the USS deficit?

Please choose one of the following statements:

- **Current benefits:** Retain current benefits, even if this requires higher contributions.
- **Current contribution levels:** Retain current contribution levels, regardless of the benefit changes this requires
- **Moderate revisions to benefits and contributions:** Balanced approach involving reduced benefits, alongside increased employer and employee contributions
- **Flexible approach:** Move to a reduced level of core pension benefits, with employers being able to choose to pay higher contributions to secure higher benefits for staff.
- **DC:** Move to DC for future benefits, to reduce risk and to make future benefit changes less likely to be needed.

**Question 10:** If needed, would you support the following changes to future benefits in order to keep contributions affordable?
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To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following options for reform? (Select from: strongly agree, somewhat agree, neither agree or disagree, somewhat disagree, strongly disagree)

- Changing salary threshold (from £55,000) Somewhat agree
- Changing the DC contribution above threshold (from 12%) Somewhat agree
- Changing accrual rate (from 1/75) Somewhat agree
- Changing the DC match (from 1%) Somewhat agree
- Offer staff the choice to move to a DC only section of USS Somewhat agree
- Transition USS to a DC-only scheme Strongly agree

Only the DC scheme addresses the risk to a significant extent

Question 11: If changes to USS benefits become necessary, to what extent are the following issues of concern to you?

To what extent do you agree or disagree that the following are concern for your institution? (Select from: strongly agree, somewhat agree, neither agree or disagree, somewhat disagree, strongly disagree)

- Competitiveness with other institutions whose staff can join DB public sector schemes Strongly agree
- The divergence within institutions in the pension benefits offered to staff (e.g. academics in USS and support staff in other schemes) Somewhat agree
- The possibility of staff opting out of pensions altogether Somewhat agree

Optional textbox provided for any additional comments.

We could create a very high quality & flexible DC scheme

The structure of USS (relates to paragraphs 18-23 in the supplementary document)

Question 12: What is your institution’s view on the way current contributions are set and assets and liabilities are calculated?

To what extent do you agree or disagree with the following positions? (Select from: strongly agree, somewhat agree, neither agree or disagree, somewhat disagree, strongly disagree)

- My institution would support taking financial responsibility for its own liabilities both past and future Somewhat disagree
- My institution would support the legal separation of each institution’s assets and liabilities and the negative effect this may have on mutuality Somewhat disagree

Question 13: What is your institution's view of increased benefit choices being available?
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To what extent do you agree or disagree with allowing more benefit choice for the following? (Select from: strongly agree, somewhat agree, neither agree or disagree, somewhat disagree, strongly disagree)

- to institutions – e.g. with a "core" USS benefit, and "supplementary" option for employers to pay more to offer higher benefits to some or all employees, Somewhat disagree
- to employees – e.g. with a “core” USS benefit, and "supplementary" option for employees to pay more to receive higher benefits, Somewhat agree

**Question 14:** If USS comprised a core benefit with supplementary benefits being available on top (whether funded by employers and/or employees), what should these supplementary benefits be?

Choose one of the following options:

- DB
- DC
- No strong preference

**Question 15:** Are there any particular categories of employees that your institution wishes it could provide alternative benefits to?

Please tick any that apply.

- **Internationally mobile employees**
- Long serving, senior employees
- Visiting academics
- Employees on short/ fixed term contracts
- Employees with affordability concerns
- Other (please specify)

**Valuation methodology** (relates to paragraphs 3-7 in the supplementary document)

**Question 16:** In terms of the overall prudence in the actuarial method and assumptions (to be decided by the trustee in consultation with scheme stakeholders), which best describes your institution’s position?

Please choose one of the following options:

- My institution believes that USS should follow a similar approach to the 2014 valuation
- My institution believes that USS should focus on being flexible, within reason, to mitigate the need to change contributions/benefits at this valuation
- **My institution is concerned about the possibility of a less prudent approach being taken and any potential increase in risk being underwritten by our institution**
This document is a record of the University's response to an online survey developed by Universities UK in 2016.

- Other (please specify)

Final Comments

**Question 17:** Please provide any comments that you would like to add.

**Question 18:** Thank you for your time. Would you mind being contacted by email in the future with any queries about your response? **No**